

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9691	
09/753,028 01/02/2001		Brian J. Williams	M-7801 US		
7.	590 11/21/2002				
ALAN H. MACPHERSON MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP 2402 MICHELSON DRIVE, SUITE 210			EXAMINER		
			PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR		
IRVINE, CA	92612		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ i		Application No.	App	olicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/753,028	WIL	LIAMS, BRIAN J.					
		Examiner	Art	Unit	· ·				
<i>;</i>		Thomas Price	364	3					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHOTHE! - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minir will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely file num of thirty (30) days will b IX (6) MONTHS from the ma pecome ABANDONED (35	ed be considered timely. ailing date of this commu U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.				
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	August 2002 .							
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nis action is non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle,	1900 C.D. 11, 400 C	J.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiren	nent.						
	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pater Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					

Application/Control Number: 09/753,028

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zarski '056.

Zarski teaches a carriable pet feeding service device which is structurally similar to the Applicant's claimed portable pet-feeding container. More specifically, the apparatus of Zarski includes reservoirs 24 and 26, removable lids 50 and 52, skirts 14 and 16, including a structure 64' and a handle 28. The structure 64' is considered to be a nodule with a hole. Regarding claim 4, the skirt includes a flat base portion. See Figure 5. As for claim 6, the hinge structure acts as a movement preventing structure. In regards to claim 8, groove 72 is positioned in the skirt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zarski '056.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,028

Art Unit: 3643

Zarski does not teach the use of a rubber material positioned on the bottom surface of the skirt nor the use of a threaded lid structure. Regarding the claims, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Zarski with a movement preventing material and a threaded lid instead of a snap-type connection, because it reduces excessive movement of the structure when being used by an animal and threaded connections are considered to be structurally equivalent to the lid-reservoir connection of Zarski.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zarski '056 in view of Magnant et al '839.

Zarski does not teach a flat base having a storage compartment. Magnant teaches a container having a storage compartment positioned within the flat base. Regarding claim 5, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flat base of Zarski with a storage compartment, in view of the teachings of Magnant, in order to carry additional amounts of food, separate from the reservoir or other types of items.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zarski '056 in view of Edwards et al '881.

Zarski does not teach the handle having a storage tube. Edwards et al teach a handle having an integral storage tube positioned therein. Regarding claim 3, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a handle of Zarski with a storage tube, in view of the teachings of Edwards et al, in order to carry additional items such as disposal bags.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,028

Art Unit: 3643

5:00p.m..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 703-308-2694. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs & Fri 6:30a.m. to

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

Page 4

rtp

November 18, 2002